

To: Burns, Francis[Burns.Fran@epa.gov]
From: Werner, Lora
Sent: Wed 1/22/2014 9:06:28 PM
Subject: Re: Update on amount of PPH in the MCHM spill

Unfortunately, it is hard to say. I will certainly be checking. Lora

From: Burns, Francis
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 4:03:45 PM
To: Werner, Lora
Subject: FW: Update on amount of PPH in the MCHM spill

Lora:

Question from Shawn. What do you think?

Thanks,

Fran

From: Garvin, Shawn
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 4:00 PM
To: Burns, Francis; Hodgkiss, Kathy; Melvin, Karen; Armstrong, Joan; Capacasa, Jon; Wisniewski, Patti-Kay; Arguto, William; binetti, victoria; duteau, helen; Jarvela, Steve; Kelly, Jack (R3 Phila.)
Subject: Re: Update on amount of PPH in the MCHM spill

Will that change the ATSDR assessment? Is this it, or is the target going to move again? Thx

From: Burns, Francis
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 3:48:04 PM
To: Garvin, Shawn; Hodgkiss, Kathy; Melvin, Karen; Armstrong, Joan; Capacasa, Jon; Wisniewski, Patti-Kay; Arguto, William; binetti, victoria; duteau, helen; Jarvela, Steve; Kelly, Jack (R3 Phila.)
Subject: FW: Update on amount of PPH in the MCHM spill

FYI

From: Linden, melissa
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 3:40 PM
To: Burns, Francis
Cc: Caporale, Cynthia; Werner, Lora
Subject: Update on amount of PPH in the MCHM spill

Hi Fran,

The Freedom Industries President just told Mike Dorsey that there is 7.3% PPH instead of the reported 5.6% given yesterday.

So the contents of tank 396 is 88.5% crude MCHM, 7.3% PPH, and 4.2% water (percent by weight, not volume as previously stated) reported as a requirement of the WV DEP Order issued this morning to the facility.

Thanks,

Melissa